arXiv:1401.2550v1 [math.RT] 11 Jan 2014

Topological classification of oriented cycles of linear mappings*

Tetiana Rybalkina Vladimir V. Sergeichuk
rybalkina_t@ukr.net sergeich@imath.kiev.ua
Institute of Mathematics, Tereshchenkivska 3, Kiev, Ukraine

Abstract

We consider oriented cycles



of linear mappings over $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{R}$, and reduce the problem of their classification up to homeomorphisms in the spaces $\mathbb{F}^{m_1}, \dots, \mathbb{F}^{m_t}$ to the case $t = 1$, which was studied by N.H. Kuiper and J.W. Robbin [Invent. Math. 19 (no. 2) (1973) 83–106] and by other authors.

Keywords: Oriented cycles of linear mappings; Topological equivalence
2000 MSC: 15A21; 37C15

1 Introduction

We consider the problem of topological classification of oriented cycles of linear mappings.

*Printed in *Ukrainian Math. J.*, 2014. The second author was supported in part by the Foundation for Research Support of the State of São Paulo (FAPESP), grant 2012/18139-2.

Let

$$\mathcal{A}: \qquad V_1 \xrightarrow{A_1} V_2 \xrightarrow{A_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{A_{t-2}} V_{t-1} \xrightarrow{A_{t-1}} V_t \xrightarrow{A_t} V_1 \tag{1}$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}: \qquad W_1 \xrightarrow{B_1} W_2 \xrightarrow{B_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{B_{t-2}} W_{t-1} \xrightarrow{B_{t-1}} W_t \xrightarrow{B_t} W_1 \tag{2}$$

be two oriented cycles of linear mappings of the same *length* t over a field $\mathbb{F}$. We say that a system $\varphi = \{\varphi_i : V_i \to W_i\}_{i=1}^t$ of bijections *transforms* $\mathcal{A}$ *to* $\mathcal{B}$ if all squares in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} V_1 & \xrightarrow{A_1} & V_2 & \xrightarrow{A_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{A_{t-2}} & V_{t-1} & \xrightarrow{A_{t-1}} & V_t \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_2} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_{t-1}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_t} \\ W_1 & \xrightarrow{B_1} & W_2 & \xrightarrow{B_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{B_{t-2}} & W_{t-1} & \xrightarrow{B_{t-1}} & W_t \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \xrightarrow{A_t} V_1 \\ \\ \\ \xrightarrow{B_t} W_1 \end{array} \tag{3}$$

are commutative; that is,

$$\varphi_2 A_1 = B_1 \varphi_1, \quad \ldots, \quad \varphi_t A_{t-1} = B_{t-1}\varphi_{t-1}, \quad \varphi_1 A_t = B_t \varphi_t. \tag{4}$$

Definition 1. Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be cycles of linear mappings of the form (1) and (2) over a field $\mathbb{F}$.

(i) $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are *isomorphic* if there exists a system of linear bijections that transforms $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{B}$.

(ii) $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are *topologically equivalent* if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{R}$,

$$V_i = \mathbb{F}^{m_i}, \quad W_i = \mathbb{F}^{n_i} \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \ldots, t,$$

and there exists a system of homeomorphisms[1] that transforms $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{B}$.

[1] By [2, Corollary 19.10] or [17, Section 11], $m_1 = n_1, \ldots, m_t = n_t$.

The *direct sum* of cycles (1) and (2) is the cycle

$$\mathcal{A}\oplus\mathcal{B}:\qquad V_1\oplus W_1 \xrightarrow{A_1\oplus B_1} V_2\oplus W_2 \xrightarrow{A_2\oplus B_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{A_{t-1}\oplus B_{t-1}} V_t\oplus W_t \xrightarrow{A_t\oplus B_t} V_1\oplus W_1$$

The vector $\dim\mathcal{A}:=(\dim V_1,\dots,\dim V_t)$ is the *dimension* of $\mathcal{A}$. A cycle $\mathcal{A}$ is *indecomposable* if its dimension is nonzero and $\mathcal{A}$ cannot be decomposed into a direct sum of cycles of smaller dimensions.

A cycle $\mathcal{A}$ is *regular* if all $A_1,\dots,A_t$ are bijections, and *singular* otherwise. Each cycle $\mathcal{A}$ possesses a *regularizing decomposition*

$$\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_{\rm reg}\oplus\mathcal{A}_1\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathcal{A}_r, \tag{5}$$

in which $\mathcal{A}_{\rm reg}$ is regular and all $\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_r$ are indecomposable singular. An algorithm that constructs a regularizing decomposition of a nonoriented cycle of linear mappings over $\mathbb{C}$ and uses only unitary transformations was given in [21].

The following theorem reduces the problem of topological classification of oriented cycles of linear mappings to the problem of topological classification of linear operators.

Theorem 1. (a) *Let $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{R}$, and let*

$$\mathcal{A}:\qquad \mathbb{F}^{m_1} \xrightarrow{A_1} \mathbb{F}^{m_2} \xrightarrow{A_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{A_{t-2}} \mathbb{F}^{m_{t-1}} \xrightarrow{A_{t-1}} \mathbb{F}^{m_t} \xrightarrow{A_t} \mathbb{F}^{m_1} \tag{6}$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}:\qquad \mathbb{F}^{n_1} \xrightarrow{B_1} \mathbb{F}^{n_2} \xrightarrow{B_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{B_{t-2}} \mathbb{F}^{n_{t-1}} \xrightarrow{B_{t-1}} \mathbb{F}^{n_t} \xrightarrow{B_t} \mathbb{F}^{n_1} \tag{7}$$

be topologically equivalent. Let

$$\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_{\rm reg}\oplus\mathcal{A}_1\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathcal{A}_r,\qquad \mathcal{B}=\mathcal{B}_{\rm reg}\oplus\mathcal{B}_1\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathcal{B}_s \tag{8}$$

be their regularizing decompositions. Then their regular parts $\mathcal{A}_{\rm reg}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\rm reg}$ are topologically equivalent, $r=s$, and after a suitable renumbering their indecomposable singular summands $\mathcal{A}_i$ and $\mathcal{B}_i$ are isomorphic for all $i=1,\dots,r$.

(b) *Each regular cycle* $\mathcal{A}$ *of the form* (6) *is isomorphic to the cycle*

$$\mathcal{A}': \qquad \mathbb{F}^{m_1} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{1}} \mathbb{F}^{m_2} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\mathbb{1}} \mathbb{F}^{m_{t-1}} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{1}} \mathbb{F}^{m_t} \qquad (9)$$
$$\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \overset{A_t\cdots A_2A_1}{\longleftarrow}$$

If cycles (6) *and* (7) *are regular, then they are topologically equivalent if and only if the linear operators* $A_t \cdots A_2A_1$ *and* $B_t \cdots B_2B_1$ *are topologically equivalent* (*as the cycles* $\mathbb{F}^{m_1} \circlearrowleft A_t \cdots A_2A_1$ *and* $\mathbb{F}^{n_1} \circlearrowleft B_t \cdots B_2B_1$ *of length* 1).

Kuiper and Robbin [18, 16] gave a criterion for topological equivalence of linear operators over $\mathbb{R}$ without eigenvalues that are roots of 1. Budnitska [4, Theorem 2.2] found a canonical form with respect to topological equivalence of linear operators over $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ without eigenvalues that are roots of 1. The problem of topological classification of linear operators with an eigenvalue that is a root of 1 was studied by Kuiper and Robbin [18, 16], Cappell and Shaneson [6, 7, 8, 9, 10], and Hsiang and Pardon [15]. The problem of topological classification of affine operators was studied in [4, 12, 1, 3, 5]. The topological classifications of pairs of counter mappins $V_1 \rightleftarrows V_2$ (i.e., oriented cycles of length 2) and of chains of linear mappings were given in [19] and [20].

2 Oriented cycles of linear mappings up to isomorphism

This section is not topological; we construct a regularizing decomposition of an oriented cycle of linear mappings over an arbitrary field $\mathbb{F}$.

A classification of cycles of length 1 (i.e., linear operators $V \circlearrowleft$) over any field is given by the Frobenius canonical form of a square matrix under similarity. The oriented cycles of length 2 (i.e., pairs of counter mappins $V_1 \rightleftarrows V_2$) are classified in [11, 14]. The classification of cycles of arbitrary length and with arbitrary orientation of its arrows is well known in the theory of representations of quivers; see [13, Section 11.1].

For each $c \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $[c]$ the natural number such that

$$1 \leqslant [c] \leqslant t, \qquad [c] \equiv c \bmod t.$$

By the Jordan theorem, for each indecomposable singular cycle $V \circlearrowleft A$ there exists a basis $e_1, \dots, e_n$ of V in which the matrix of A is a singular Jordan block. This means that the basis vectors form a *Jordan chain*

$$e_1 \xrightarrow{A} e_2 \xrightarrow{A} e_3 \xrightarrow{A} \cdots \xrightarrow{A} e_n \xrightarrow{A} 0.$$

In the same manner, each indecomposable singular cycle $\mathcal{A}$ of an arbitrary length t also can be given by a chain

$$e_p \xrightarrow{A_p} e_{p+1} \xrightarrow{A_{[p+1]}} e_{p+2} \xrightarrow{A_{[p+2]}} \cdots \xrightarrow{A_{[q-1]}} e_q \xrightarrow{A_{[q]}} 0$$

in which $1 \leqslant p \leqslant q \leqslant t$ and for each $l = 1, 2, \dots, t$ the set $\{e_i | i \equiv l \bmod t\}$ is a basis of V_l; see [13, Section 11.1]. We say that this chain *ends in* $V_{[q]}$ since $e_q \in V_{[q]}$. The number $q - p$ is called the *length* of the chain.

For example, the chain

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & & & & & e_4 & \to & e_5 \\ e_6 & \leftarrow & e_7 & \to & e_8 & \to & e_9 & \to & e_{10} \\ e_{11} & \leftarrow & e_{12} & \to & 0 & & & & \end{array}$$

of length 8 gives an indecomposable singular cycle on the spaces $V_1 = \mathbb{F}e_6 \oplus \mathbb{F}e_{11}$, $V_2 = \mathbb{F}e_7 \oplus \mathbb{F}e_{12}$, $V_3 = \mathbb{F}e_8$, $V_4 = \mathbb{F}e_4 \oplus \mathbb{F}e_9$, $V_5 = \mathbb{F}e_5 \oplus \mathbb{F}e_{10}$.

Lemma 1. *Let*

$$\mathcal{A}: \qquad V_1 \xrightarrow{A_1} V_2 \xrightarrow{A_2} \dots \xrightarrow{A_{t-2}} V_{t-1} \xrightarrow{A_{t-1}} V_t \xrightarrow{A_t} V_1$$

be an oriented cycle of linear mappings, and let (5) *be its regularizing decomposition.*

(a) *Write*

$$\hat{A}_i := A_{[i+t-1]} \cdots A_{[i+1]} A_i : V_i \to V_i \tag{10}$$

and fix a natural number z such that

$$\tilde{V}_i := \hat{A}_i^z V_i = \hat{A}_i^{z+1} V_i \quad \textit{for all } i = 1, \dots, t.$$

Let

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}: \qquad \tilde{V}_1 \xrightarrow{\tilde{A}_1} \tilde{V}_2 \xrightarrow{\tilde{A}_2} \dots \xrightarrow{\tilde{A}_{t-2}} \tilde{V}_{t-1} \xrightarrow{\tilde{A}_{t-1}} \tilde{V}_t \xrightarrow{\tilde{A}_t} \tilde{V}_1$$

be the cycle formed by the restrictions $\tilde{A}_i : \tilde{V}_i \to \tilde{V}_{[i+1]}$ *of* $A_i : V_i \to V_{[i+1]}$. *Then* $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{reg}} = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ (*and so the regular part is uniquely determined by* $\mathcal{A}$).

(b) *The numbers*

$$k_{ij} := \dim \operatorname{Ker}(A_{[i+j]} \cdots A_{[i+1]} A_i), \qquad i = 1, \dots, t \text{ and } j \geqslant 0,$$

determine the singular summands $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_r$ *of regularizing decomposition* (5) *up to isomorphism since the number* n_{lj} ($l = 1, \dots, t$ *and* $j \geqslant 0$) *of singular summands given by chains of length* j *that end in* V_l *can be calculated by the formula*

$$n_{lj} = k_{[l-j],j} - k_{[l-j],j-1} - k_{[l-j-1],j+1} + k_{[l-j-1],j} \tag{11}$$

in which $k_{i,-1} := 0$.

Proof. (a) Let (5) be a regularizing decomposition of $\mathcal{A}$. Let

$$V_i = V_{i,\mathrm{reg}} \oplus V_{i1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{ir}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, t,$$

be the corresponding decompositions of its vector spaces. Then $\hat{A}_i^z V_{i,\mathrm{reg}} = V_{i,\mathrm{reg}}$ (since all linear mappings in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ are bijections) and $\hat{A}_i^z V_{i1} = \cdots = \hat{A}_i^z V_{ir} = 0$. Hence $V_{i,\mathrm{reg}} = \tilde{V}_i$, and so $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{reg}} = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$.

(b) Denote by

$$\sigma_{ij} := n_{ij} + n_{i,j+1} + n_{i,j+2} + \cdots$$

the number of chains of length $\geqslant j$ that end in V_i. Clearly, $k_{i0} = \sigma_{i0}$, $k_{i1} = \sigma_{i0} + \sigma_{[i+1],1}$, …, and

$$k_{ij} = \sigma_{i0} + \sigma_{[i+1],1} + \cdots + \sigma_{[i+j],j}$$

for each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant t$ and $j \geqslant 0$. Therefore,

$$\sigma_{lj} = k_{ij} - k_{i,j-1}, \qquad l := [i + j]$$

(recall that $k_{i,-1} = 0$). This means that $l \equiv i + j \bmod t$, $i \equiv l - j \bmod t$, $i = [l - j]$, and so

$$\sigma_{lj} = k_{[l-j],j} - k_{[l-j],j-1}.$$

We get

$$n_{lj} = \sigma_{lj} - \sigma_{l,j+1} = k_{[l-j],j} - k_{[l-j],j-1} - k_{[l-j-1],j+1} + k_{[l-j-1],j}. \qquad \square$$

3 Proof of Theorem 1

In this section, $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{R}$.

(a) Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be cycles (6) and (7). Let them be topologically equivalent; that is, $\mathcal{A}$ is transformed to B by a system $\{\varphi_i : \mathbb{F}^{m_i} \to \mathbb{F}^{n_i}\}_{i=1}^{t}$ of homeomorphisms. Let (8) be regularizing decompositions of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$.

First we prove that their regular parts $\mathcal{A}_{\text{reg}}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\text{reg}}$ are topologically equivalent. In notation (10),

$$\hat{A}_i = A_{[i+t-1]} \cdots A_{[i+1]} A_i, \quad \hat{B}_i = B_{[i+t-1]} \cdots B_{[i+1]} B_i.$$

Let z be a natural number that satisfies both $\hat{A}_i^z \mathbb{F}^{m_i} = \hat{A}_i^{z+1} \mathbb{F}^{m_i}$ and $\hat{B}_i^z \mathbb{F}^{n_i} = \hat{B}_i^{z+1} \mathbb{F}^{n_i}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, t$. By (3), the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{F}^{m_i} & \xrightarrow{\hat{A}^z} & \mathbb{F}^{m_i} \\ {\scriptstyle\varphi_i}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\varphi_i} \\ \mathbb{F}^{n_i} & \xrightarrow{\hat{B}^z} & \mathbb{F}^{n_i} \end{array}$$

is commutative. Then $\varphi_i \operatorname{Im} \hat{A}_i^z = \operatorname{Im} \hat{B}_i^z$ for all i. Therefore, the restriction $\hat{\varphi}_i : \operatorname{Im} \hat{A}_i^z \to \operatorname{Im} \hat{B}_i^z$ is a homeomorphism. The system of homeomorphisms $\hat{\varphi}_1, \dots, \hat{\varphi}_t$ transforms $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ to $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$, which are the regular parts of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ by Lemma 1(a).

Let us prove that $r = s$, and, after a suitable renumbering, $\mathcal{A}_i$ and $\mathcal{B}_i$ are isomorphic for all $i = 1, \dots, r$. Since all summands $\mathcal{A}_i$ and $\mathcal{B}_i$ with $i \geqslant 1$ can be given by chains of basic vectors, it suffices to prove that $n_{ij} = n'_{ij}$ for all i and j, where n'_{ij} is the number of singular summands $\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_s$ in (8) given by chains of length j that end in the ith space $\mathbb{F}^{n_i}$.

Due to (11), it suffices to prove that the numbers k_{ij} are invariant with respect to topological equivalence.

In the same manner as k_{ij} is constructed by $\mathcal{A}$, we construct k'_{ij} by $\mathcal{B}$. Let us fix i and j and prove that $k_{ij} = k'_{ij}$. Write

$$A := A_{[i+j]} \cdots A_{[i+1]} A_i, \quad B := B_{[i+j]} \cdots B_{[i+1]} B_i, \qquad q := [i + j + 1]$$

and consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{F}^{m_i} & \xrightarrow{A} & \mathbb{F}^{m_q} \\ {\scriptstyle\varphi_i}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\varphi_q} \\ \mathbb{F}^{n_i} & \xrightarrow{B} & \mathbb{F}^{n_q} \end{array} \tag{12}$$

which is a fragment of (3). We have

$$k_{ij} = \dim \operatorname{Ker} A = m_i - \dim \operatorname{Im} A, \quad k'_{ij} = n_i - \dim \operatorname{Im} B.$$

Because $\varphi_i : \mathbb{F}^{m_i} \to \mathbb{F}^{n_i}$ is a homeomorphism, $m_i = n_i$ (see [2, Corollary 19.10] or [17, Section 11]). Since the diagram (12) is commutative, $\varphi_q(\operatorname{Im} A) = \operatorname{Im} B$. Hence, the vector spaces $\operatorname{Im} A$ and $\operatorname{Im} B$ are homeomorphic, and so $\dim \operatorname{Im} A = \dim \operatorname{Im} B$, which proves $k_{ij} = k'_{ij}$.

(b) Each regular cycle $\mathcal{A}$ of the form (6) is isomorphic to the cycle $\mathcal{A}'$ of the form (9) since the diagram



(13)

is commutative.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be regular cycles of the form (6) and (7). Let them be topologically equivalent; that is, $\mathcal{A}$ is transformed to B by a system $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_t)$ of homeomorphisms; see (3). By (4),

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1 A_t A_{t-1} \cdots A_1 &= B_t \varphi_t A_{t-1} \cdots A_1 \\ &= B_t B_{t-1} \varphi_{t-1} A_{t-2} \cdots A_1 = \cdots = B_t B_{t-1} \cdots B_1 \varphi_1, \end{aligned}$$

and so the cycles $\mathbb{F}^{m_1} \circlearrowleft A_t \cdots A_2 A_1$ and $\mathbb{F}^{m_1} \circlearrowleft B_t \cdots B_2 B_1$ are topologically equivalent via φ_1.

Conversely, let $\mathbb{F}^{m_1} \circlearrowleft A_t \cdots A_2 A_1$ and $\mathbb{F}^{m_1} \circlearrowleft B_t \cdots B_2 B_1$ be topologically equivalent via some homeomorphism φ_1, and let $\mathcal{A}'$ and $\mathcal{B}'$ be constructed by $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ as in (9). Then $\mathcal{A}'$ and $\mathcal{B}'$ are topologically equivalent via the system of homeomorphisms $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_1)$. Let ε and δ be systems of linear bijections that transform $\mathcal{A}'$ to $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}'$ to $\mathcal{B}$; see (13). Then $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are topologically equivalent via the system of homeomorphisms $\delta \varphi \varepsilon^{-1}$.

References

[1] J. Blanc, Conjugacy classes of affine automorphisms of $\mathbb{K}^n$ and linear automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^n$ in the Cremona groups, Manuscripta Math. 119 (2) (2006) 225–241.

[2] G.E. Bredon, Topology and Geometry, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1993.

[3] T.V. Budnitska, Classification of topological conjugate affine mappings, Ukrainian Math. J. 61 (2009) 164–170.

[4] T. Budnitska, Topological classification of affine operators on unitary and Euclidean spaces, Linear Algebra Appl. 434 (2011) 582–592.

[5] T. Budnitska, N. Budnitska, Classification of affine operators up to biregular conjugacy, Linear Algebra Appl. 434 (2011) 1195–1199.

[6] S.E. Cappell, J.L. Shaneson, Linear algebra and topology, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., New Series, 1 (1979) 685–687.

[7] S.E. Cappell, J.L. Shaneson, Nonlinear similarity of matrices, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., New Series, 1 (1979) 899–902.

[8] S.E. Cappell, J.L. Shaneson, Non-linear similarity, Ann. of Math. 113 (2) (1981) 315–355.

[9] S.E. Cappell, J.L. Shaneson, Non-linear similarity and linear similarity are equivariant below dimension 6, Contemp. Math. 231 (1999) 59–66.

[10] S.E. Cappell, J.L. Shaneson, M. Steinberger, J.E. West, Nonlinear similarity begins in dimension six, Amer. J. Math. 111 (1989) 717–752.

[11] N.M. Dobrovol′skaya, V.A. Ponomarev, A pair of counter-operators, Uspehi Mat. Nauk. 20 (6) 80–86 (in Russian).

[12] W. Ephrämowitsch, Topologische Klassifikation affiner Abbildungen der Ebene, Mat. Sb. 42 (1) (1935) 23–36.

[13] P. Gabriel, A.V. Roiter Representations of Finite-Dimensional Algebras, Encyclopaedia of Math. Sci., V. 73 (Algebra VIII), Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1992.

[14] R.A. Horn, D.I. Merino, Contragredient equivalence: a canonical form and some applications, Linear Algebra Appl. 214 (1995) 43–92.

[15] W.C. Hsiang, W. Pardon, When are topologically equivalent orthogonal transformations linearly equivalent, Invent. Math. 68 (2) (1982) 275–316.

[16] N.H. Kuiper, J.W. Robbin, Topological classification of linear endomorphisms, Invent. Math. 19 (2) (1973) 83–106.

[17] J. McCleary, A First Course in Topology: Continuity and Dimension, Providence: Amer. Math. Soc., 2006.

[18] J. W. Robbin, Topological conjugacy and structural stability for discrete dynamical systems, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 78 (1972) 923–952.

[19] T. Rybalkina, Topological classification of pairs of counter linear maps, Mat. Stud. 39 (1) (2013) 21–28 (in Ukrainian).

[20] T. Rybalkina, V.V. Sergeichuk, Topological classification of chains of linear mappings, Linear Algebra Appl. 437 (2012) 860–869.

[21] V.V. Sergeichuk, Computation of canonical matrices for chains and cycles of linear mappings, Linear Algebra Appl. 376 (2004) 235–263.